

UF | UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA
The Foundation for The Gator Nation

Contact karunbrav@ufl.edu
Abstract # 64974

Our main objective was to incorporate 12 different genetic traits of economic interest for each individual animal in an existing dynamic, stochastic dairy simulation model. **Specific objectives** were simulation of:



- A. True Breeding Values
- B. Environmental Components
- C. Phenotypic Performance
- D. Estimated Breeding Values

- $TBV_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} (TBV_{sk} + TBV_{dk}) + \sqrt{0.5 * (1 - (F_s + F_d) / 2))} * m_{ik}$, for each animal (i) with sire (s) and dam (d) for each trait (k). m_{ik} was the Mendelian sampling effect for k^{th} trait of the animal i. F_s and F_d were the inbreeding coefficient of the sire and the dam respectively.
- TBV_{sk} and TBV_{dk} were computed by multiplying $12 * 12$ Cholesky decomposed genetic covariance matrix from table 1, times a $12 * 1$ matrix of inverse of random numbers ($\sim N(0, 1)$).

- The Cholesky decomposition of the environmental covariance matrix (12 by 12) multiplied by a 12 * 1 matrix of inverse of random numbers ($\sim N(0, 1)$) yielded environmental component for each of the twelve traits (from Table 1).
- Environmental component was split into a permanent (PERM) and a temporary (DAILY) component.

- Phenotypes were based on a) average herd performance and b) phenotypic deviation (ΔP).
- $\Delta P = TBV + PERM + DAILY$.
- In the dairy model, a cow's production, fertility and survival risk depended on ΔP , as well as on herd performance.

- EBV is used for selection decisions.
- $$EBV_{ik} = \text{Norm.Inv}(\text{Corr. Rand}_{tk}, \text{condMean}_{ik}, \text{condStd}_{ik}) * \text{corr}_{EBVk \text{ vs } TBVk}$$

where Corr. Rand_{tk} was the correlation between the random number used for estimation of a trait k to a 13th random number (t), $\text{condMean}_{ik} = \text{TBVMean}_{pk} + \text{corr}_{EBVk \text{ vs } TBVk} * (\text{TBV}_{ik} - \text{TBVMean}_{pk})$, $\text{condStd}_{ik} = \sqrt{(1 - \text{Reliability})} * \text{Std}_k$ and TBVMean_{pk} is the average TBV of animals in each parity (p) for each trait (k) at the start of the updating day. ($\text{corr}_{EBVk \text{ vs } TBVk}$) was the correlation between the EBV and TBV of each trait k.

- Simulation of 1000 cow dairy herd, 100 replicates.
- Monthly removal of 20% surplus young females based on either lowest EBV of milk or lowest EBV of fertility (DPR).

	Traits												Units
	Milk	Fat	Protein	PL	SCS	Body size	Udder	Feet/legs	DPR	HCR	CCR	CA\$	
Milk	1.00	0.43	0.83	0.10	0.02	-0.10	-0.10	-0.02	-0.23	-0.03	-0.16	0.19	Kilogram
Fat	0.69	1.00	0.59	0.15	-0.09	-0.07	-0.07	0.01	-0.15	0.03	-0.10	0.13	Kilogram
Protein	0.90	0.75	1.00	0.13	0.04	-0.17	-0.14	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07	-0.15	0.22	Kilogram
PL	0.15	0.17	0.16	1.00	-0.45	-0.27	0.18	0.14	0.64	0.32	0.62	0.40	Months
SCS	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	-0.40	1.00	-0.07	-0.23	-0.15	-0.27	-0.12	-0.25	-0.14	Log
Body size	0.06	0.05	0.05	-0.20	-0.11	1.00	0.45	0.38	-0.12	-0.02	-0.15	-0.16	Composite
Udder	-0.02	-0.05	-0.06	0.15	-0.30	0.45	1.00	0.45	0.09	0.03	0.04	0.10	Composite
Feet/legs	-0.14	-0.11	-0.18	0.08	-0.02	0.35	0.40	1.00	0.03	-0.01	-0.04	-0.01	Composite
DPR	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	0.20	-0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.41	0.87	0.35	Percent
HCR	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05	0.10	-0.04	-0.02	-0.05	-0.05	0.10	1.00	0.54	0.16	Percent
CCR	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	0.40	-0.20	-0.10	0.03	-0.04	0.70	0.45	1.00	0.34	Percent
CA\$	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.20	-0.03	-0.10	0.00	-0.02	0.09	0.16	0.20	1.00	Dollars

	Milk	DPR
Milk	1103	901
Fat	54	50
Protein	36	32
PL	10.05	10.65
SCS	-0.55	-0.59
BodySize	-1.50	-1.51
Udder	0.41	0.51
Feet Legs	0.75	0.80
DPR	2.91	4.31
HCR	1.32	1.88
CCR	1.56	2.83
CA\$	43.31	44.26
NM\$	1183	1161
Pregnancy rate	30.09%	31.47%
Days open	115	112
Milk yield/cow/yr	12577	12395
Profit/cow/yr	1163	1116
Annual cull rate	34%	35%

- Evaluation of dairy herd reproductive programs on genetic progress and profitability.

