Evaluation of Animal Genetic and Physiological Factors That Affect the Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in Cattle
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Abstract

Controlling the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle at the pre-harvest level is critical to reduce outbreaks of this pathogen in humans. Multilayers of factors including the environmental and bacterial factors modulate the colonization and persistence of *E. coli* O157 in cattle that serve as a reservoir of this pathogen. Here, we report animal factors contributing to the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in cattle. We observe the lowest number of *E. coli* O157 in Brahman breed when compared with other crosses in an Angus-Brahman multibreed herd, and bulls excrete more *E. coli* O157 than steers in the pens where cattle were housed together. The presence of super-shedders, cattle excreting >10⁵ CFU/rectal anal swab, increases the concentration of *E. coli* O157 in the pens; thereby super-shedders enhance transmission of this pathogen among cattle. Molecular subtyping analysis reveal only one subtype of *E. coli* O157 in the multibreed herd, indicating the variance in the levels of *E. coli* O157 in cattle is influenced by animal factors. Furthermore, strain tracking after relocation of the cattle to a commercial feedlot reveals farm-to-farm transmission of *E. coli* O157, likely via super-shedders. Our results reveal high risk factors in the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in cattle whereby animal genetic and physiological factors influence whether this pathogen can persist in cattle at high concentration, providing insights to intervene this pathogen at the pre-harvest level.

Introduction

The prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle herds (ranging 0–61%) [1,2,3,4] is positively correlated to outbreaks of this pathogen causing severe diseases including hemorrhagic colitis (HC) and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), which can cause kidney failure and be fatal [3,5]. Despite the implementation of government regulations and development of process interventions, food recalls and human illness related to *E. coli* O157 remain concerns around the world. Reducing the prevalence of this pathogen in cattle at the pre-harvest level has been highlighted recently as a critical control point to decrease the number of *E. coli* O157 in cattle is usually asymptomatic due to the lack of Shiga toxin receptor, globotriaosylceramide (Gb3), in cattle endothelial cells [14] that prevents elimination of super-shedding cattle contaminated with this pathogen at farms.

Several aspects influence the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in cattle. The colonization of *E. coli* O157 at the rectal anal junction (RAJ) likely allows this pathogen to persist and shed high levels of bacteria for weeks or months [15,16]. *E. coli* O157 primarily colonizes the mucosal epithelium at the RAJ [17], although it can be isolated from the gall bladder and along the gastrointestinal tract [18,19]. More than 100 genes are involved in the colonization of the bovine intestine identified by genetic and biochemical analyses [20], including the *E. coli* O157 type III secretion system that enables the translocation of effector proteins into host cells and is required for colonization of cattle [21,22,23,24,25]. Besides the bacterial factors, environmental factors are believed to contribute to the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in cattle. The prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in feces fluctuates by season with the peak between late spring and early fall [26,27,28].
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Water, soil, wild animals, insects, and dirty equipment are important vectors for spreading and transmission of *E. coli* O157 [29,30,31,32]. Transmission of *E. coli* O157 by environmental sources is one of the challenges to the development of pre-harvest interventions.

Even though similar *E. coli* O157 strains are flourishing in the same herds with identical cattle husbandry practices applied, a portion of animals are considered super-shedders [9,12], suggesting that the phenomenon of super-shedders are controlled by multilayers of factors. However, underlying mechanisms by which certain animals (2-5% in herds) become super-shedders are not clearly understood. In early studies of animal inoculation with *E. coli* O157, inoculated orally with water, some animals were never colonized with this pathogen, indicating that some animals were resistant to *E. coli* O157 [10,32]. On the basis of these observations, we hypothesized that, in addition to bacterial factors, animals play a critical role in modulating the colonization of this pathogen in animals. This study was designed to address the impact of animal genetic factors on *E. coli* O157 prevalence, as well as animal husbandry. Here, we present our findings that genetic and physiological factors of animals and animal husbandry practices significantly affect the prevalence of *E. coli* O157, suggesting potential intervention practices to reduce this pathogen entering to the food production chain.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

Standard practices of animal care and use were applied to animals used in this project. Research protocols were approved by the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC number 20100374).

**Animal Genetic Background**

Cattle belonged to the Angus-Brahman multibreed herd at the University of Florida. The herd was established in 1988 to conduct long-term genetic studies in beef cattle under subtropical environmental conditions. Cattle were assigned to six breed groups according to the following breed composition ranges: calf breed group 1 = 100% to 80% of Angus, 0% to 20% of Brahman; calf breed group 2 = 79% to 60% of Angus, 21% to 40% of Brahman; calf breed group 3 = 62.5% of Angus, 37.5% of Brahman; calf breed group 4 = 59% to 40% of Angus, 41% to 60% of Brahman; calf breed group 5 = 39% to 20% of Angus, 61% to 80% of Brahman, and calf breed group 6:19% to 0% of Brahman, calf breed group 5 = 39% to 20% of Angus, 61% to 80% of Brahman, and calf breed group 6:19% to 0% of Brahman, 81% to 100% of Brahman. Mating was diallel, i.e., sires of the same breed groups according to the following breed composition ranges: calf breed group 1 = 100% to 80% of Angus, 0% to 20% of Brahman; calf breed group 2 = 79% to 60% of Angus, 21% to 40% of Brahman; calf breed group 3 = 62.5% of Angus, 37.5% of Brahman; calf breed group 4 = 59% to 40% of Angus, 41% to 60% of Brahman; calf breed group 5 = 39% to 20% of Angus, 61% to 80% of Brahman, and calf breed group 6:19% to 0% of Brahman, 81% to 100% of Brahman.

**Cattle Maintenance**

Angus, Brahman, and Angus × Brahman crossbred steers (n = 80, 253±38 kg) and bulls (n = 11, 345±29 kg) were housed in the UFEF at the North Florida Research and Education Center in Marianna, Florida for 97 days (From October 19, 2011 until January 24, 2012). Upon arrival (day 0) to the UFEF, cattle were fitted with electronic identification tags (Allflex USA Inc., Dallas-Fort Worth, TX) and were randomly allocated to 5 concrete floor pens of 108 m² each with wood shavings bedding for a total of 18 animals per pen with the exception of one pen which contained 19 animals. The 11 bulls were allocated to only 2 of the 5 pens (one pen with 5 and another pen with 6 bulls). Cattle had ad libitum access to feed and water at all times and intake was monitored continuously via a GrowSafe system (GrowSafe Systems Ltd., Airdrie, Alberta, Canada). Each pen contained two GrowSafe nodes, thus the mean stocking rate per node was 9 cattle. Cattle received a diet comprised of (DM basis) 34% ground bahiagrass hay, 31% corn gluten feed pellets, 31% soybean hulls pellets, 4% of supplement containing molasses, urea, vitamin and minerals. The diet was formulated to have 14.7% crude protein and 0.97 Mcal of Net Energy of gain/kg of diet dry matter.

**Detection and Enumeration of *E. coli* O157**

The presence or absence of *E. coli* O157 in swabs of the RAJ was determined as previously described with minor modifications [10]. Rectal anal junction swab samples were collected from 91 cattle at the UFEF and a commercial feedlot. Samples were taken to the lab on ice within 4 h of collection to minimize bacterial growth and further tested for microbiological identification. Swab samples were resuspended in 2 ml of Tryptic soy broth (Difco) and serially diluted in 0.1% (w/v) peptone. Two hundred microliters of diluted samples (neat, 10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³, and 10⁻⁴) were then plated on MacConkey sorbitol agar (Difco) supplemented with cefixime (50 μg/liter; Lederle Labs, Pearl River, N.Y.) and potassium tellurite (2.5 mg/liter; Sigma) (CT-SMAC) to determine the number of *E. coli* O157 [34]. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 h and typical *E. coli* O157 colonies (i.e., sorbitol-negative colonies and multiplex PCR positive, described below) were enumerated. The minimum detection limit of the direct plating procedure was approximately 10 CFU/swab. Enrichment was used for the presence or absence determinations on samples that did not yield *E. coli* O157 by direct plating. For this purpose, samples were enriched in TSB supplemented with novobiothin (20 μg/ml; Sigma) for 18 to 24 h at 37 °C with shaking, and *E. coli* O157 was detected by direct plating after serial dilution (10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³, and 10⁻⁴) with 0.1% (w/v) peptone. Sorbitol-negative colonies were confirmed by multiplex PCR.

**Multiplex PCR**

Multiplex PCR was conducted to confirm *E. coli* O157. Bacterial strains used in this paper are listed (Table 1). *E. coli* O157:H7 EDL933 and DH5α were used as a positive and negative control of multiplex PCR, respectively. Primers were designed to detect stx1, stx2, hly, and rbe (Table 2). stx1 and stx2 primers detected subunit A of Stxl and Stx2, respectively. Each PCR wells contained 25 μl of reaction mix, comprised of 2.5 μl of 10X buffer, 0.5 μl of dNTP, 1 μl of Taq polymerase and a mixture of the 8 primers. PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 94 °C for 5 min for pre-denaturation, 94 °C for 30 sec, 53 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for 1 min each 30 cycles, and 72 °C for 10 min for a final extension. PCR products were visualized on 1.5% agarose gel in Tris-EDTA buffer after electrophoresis.

**Subtyping of *E. coli* O157 Isolates Using Pulsed-field Gel Electrophoresis**

Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) was performed to subtype farm isolates in accordance with PulseNet standardized laboratory protocol. A colony purified on CT-SMAC was grown overnight in a shaker in Luria Broth (LB) at 37 °C. Concentration of cell suspension was adjusted to an optical density of 1.0 at 600 nm. Cells (400 μl) were mixed with 20 μl of proteinase K (20 mg/ml stock, Fisher Scientific) and 1% Sektrom Gold agarose (Lonza). The mixture was placed into a well of disposable plug molds (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Agarose plugs were lysed in cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 50 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 and 1%
Sarcosyl) for 2 h at 55°C with constant shaking at 170 rpm. Lysed plugs were washed one time with sterile distilled-water, followed by TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) twice at 55°C. Plugs were digested with 10 units of XbaI (New England BioLabs), and then electrophoresed using a CHEF Mapper (Bio-Rad Laboratories) under the following condition: 0.5 X Tris-Borate EDTA buffer at 14°C, 6 V/cm for 19 h, and an initial switch time from 2.16 s to 54.17 s. Lambda Ladder PFG Marker (New England BioLabs) was run as a size marker. The PFGE patterns were visualized by using GelDocTM XR with Image LabTM software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The banding patterns were analyzed with GelCompar II software (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium).

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed by GraphPad InStat version 3.10. Differences among pens were analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by Tukey’s test. Proportions of the super-shedders between bulls and steers were compared by Fisher’s exact test. All data were expressed as mean ± standard error. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Prevalence of E. coli O157 in the Multibreed Cattle
As a first step to understand the animal factors that may affect the prevalence of E. coli O157 in cattle, we enumerated this pathogen at the RAJ. A total of 91 animals were produced by the diallel mating system described previously [33] and assigned to six groups according to their estimated breed composition. As shown in figure 1A, calf breed group 1 contained the largest expected portion of genetic traits from Angus (100–80%) and the smallest expected portion from Brahman (0–20%). As the calf breed group numbers increase, the Angus portion decreases and Brahman portion increases. Thus, breed group 1 is more closely related to Angus while breed group 6 is more closely related to Brahman. Six different breed groups were randomly housed in 5 pens, but bulls were housed only in pen 1 and 2 to test if castration may affect the levels of this pathogen in the groups (Fig. 1B).

The total number of E. coli O157 from the RAJ swab samples was enumerated by a direct plating method without enrichment to monitor the real number of bacteria colonized on the RAJ. Swab samples were serial diluted before plated on CT-SMAC plate and incubated for 24 hours. Colonies with characteristic sorbitol negative color were picked and confirmed by using multiplex PCR. Out of 91 samples, 37 samples (40.66%) were found to be positive for E. coli O157 with a detection limit of 10 CFU/swab (Fig. 2). Samples that were negative for the direct plating method were enriched overnight followed by direct plating on CT-SMAC after serial dilution, but O157 was not detected from these samples (data not shown). The total number of E. coli O157 from the RAJ varied between animals, ranging from 10^1 to more than 10^6

Table 1. Strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli O157:H7 EDL933</td>
<td>ATCC43895</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli DH5α</td>
<td>Lab collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1220</td>
<td>Isolated from medium-shedding animal in pen 17</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1225</td>
<td>Isolated from medium-shedding animal in pen 13</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1231</td>
<td>Isolated from super-shedding animal in pen 17</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1237</td>
<td>Isolated from medium-shedding animal in pen 15</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1238</td>
<td>Isolated from medium-shedding animal in pen 16</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1242</td>
<td>Isolated from low-shedding animal in pen 15</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1244</td>
<td>Isolated from low-shedding animal in pen 16</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1252</td>
<td>Isolated from super-shedding animal in pen 14</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1254</td>
<td>Isolated from medium-shedding animal in pen 14</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1265</td>
<td>Isolated from low-shedding animal in pen 14</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1266</td>
<td>Isolated from super-shedding animal in pen 13</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1268</td>
<td>Isolated from low-shedding animal in pen 14</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1430</td>
<td>Isolated from a commercial feedlot</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCJ1432</td>
<td>Isolated from a commercial feedlot</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5' - 3')</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fbe</td>
<td>KCP57</td>
<td>CGGACATCCA TGTGATATGG</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCP58</td>
<td>TTGCCTATGTA CAGCTAATCC</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>KCP11</td>
<td>TGTGGATAGT GGAACCTCA</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCP12</td>
<td>TGCGCAGCTG AAAGAAGA</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stx2</td>
<td>KCP13</td>
<td>CCATGACAACG GACAGCAAT</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCP14</td>
<td>TGCGCCAGTTA TCTGACATCC</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hlyA</td>
<td>KCP19</td>
<td>GCGAGCTAAGC AGCTTGAAT</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCP20</td>
<td>CTGGAGGCTGC ACTAATCC</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Referenced by Valadez et al. [38].
Referenced by Bai et al. [39].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055728.t001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055728.t002
The majority of positive samples contained this pathogen at $10^2$–$10^5$ CFU/swab ($n = 31$; 83.78% of positive samples) and 6 cattle (16.21% of positive samples) contained more than $10^5$ CFU/swab (Table 3). Cattle were categorized into four groups depending on the number of $E. coli$ O157 shedding, non-shedder ($<10^1$ CFU/swab; $n = 54$; 59.34% of cattle), low-shedder ($10^1$–$10^3$ CFU/swab; $n = 16$; 17.59% of cattle), medium-shedder ($10^3$–$10^5$ CFU/swab, $n = 15$; 16.48% of cattle), and super-shedder ($>10^5$ CFU, $n = 6$; 6.6% of cattle). Previously, super-shedder was defined as an animal that excretes $E. coli$ O157 at more than $10^4$ CFU/g of feces. However, in this study we defined a super-shedder at excretions of more than $10^5$ CFU/swab because previous results showed that RAJ swab samples were 10 fold higher than fecal samples [10].

Presence of Super-shedders in Pens Increases the Total Number of Bacteria in Herds

It has been shown that the presence of super-shedders increases the prevalence of $E. coli$ O157 in hides and carcass by enhancing transmission of this pathogen [6,7,13]. However, it is not well known if the super-shedders increase the total number of this pathogen in herds. We determined if there was a correlation between the presence of super-shedders and the level of $E. coli$ O157 in different pens. Pen 13, 14, and 17 had 3, 2, and 1 super-shedder in the group of cattle, respectively (Fig. 3A), resulting in a higher number of $E. coli$ O157 in the pens compared to the pens without super-shedders (pen 15 and 16). To understand the role of super-shedders in the transmission of $E. coli$ O157, the total number of $E. coli$ O157 bacteria excreted from super-shedders was calculated to determine what percentage of this pathogen was shed from super-shedders. $E. coli$ O157 excreted from super-shedder accounted for more than 95% of total bacteria in the herds (Fig. 3B). These data confirm that super-shedders serve as a high risk factor for the transmission of this pathogen to other animals (Fig. 2 or Table 3). In addition, it suggests that removing super-shedders in cattle herds can be an effective method to reduce the number of this pathogen in herds, thus identification of super-shedders is a critical control point to reduce potential outbreaks caused by this pathogen.

Bulls are More Susceptible to $E. coli$ O157 than Steers

To determine whether castration may affect the prevalence of $E. coli$ O157, the number of this pathogen was counted in bulls and steers at the RAJ. The number of super-shedders was significantly different ($P = 0.022$) between bulls (27.27%, $n = 11$) and steers (3.75%, $n = 80$), indicating bulls are more susceptible to become...
super-shedders. However, it was remarkable that the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in both cattle groups was similar at 36.36% and 41.25% respectively (Table 3). Taken together, even though steers and bulls are exposed to this pathogen in the same environment, probably by transmission from super-shedders, bulls are more likely to develop into super-shedders. This data suggest that steers may need to be separated from bulls to reduce total number of this pathogen in herds.

Brahman Cattle are More Resistant to *E. coli* O157

Results from previous inoculation studies of *E. coli* O157 with steers showed that certain cattle were resistant to this pathogen, despite repeated inoculation [32], suggesting animal factors were critical for colonization at the RAJ. We hypothesized that genetic factors play a significant role in the prevalence of *E. coli* O157 in animals. To assess the role of the genetic factors, we examined six different breed groups to identify if there is a correlation between breed groups and resistance to *E. coli* O157. The breed group 6 excreted the lowest number of *E. coli* O157 among the groups. As shown in Fig. 4A, the level of *E. coli* O157 was the lowest in breed group 6 compared to other groups, indicating that Brahman calves were more resistant to *E. coli* O157 than others. When calves were progeny of dams or sires from group 6, the calves had the lowest number of *E. coli* O157 compared to other groups (Fig. 4B and 4C). Notably, we could not observe a linear relationship between genetic composition and *E. coli* O157 resistance in calves, suggesting the resistance against pathogens may not be additive, but existing only in 100% Brahman cattle.

One Dominant *E. coli* O157 Strain was Prevalent Among Cattle

Although we observed that animal factors play key roles in determining the levels of *E. coli* O157 in cattle, we could not remove the possibility that a different level of this pathogen among cattle may have been mediated by different *E. coli* O157 strains. Bacterial factors are critical for prevalence and persistence of this pathogen in hosts; therefore, the different levels of *E. coli* O157 among cattle could have resulted by difference of bacterial strains rather than animal factors. Thus, we conducted molecular subtyping to eliminate the possibility that super-shedders carried a well-adapted *E. coli* O157 strain to cattle, while low shedders carried not-well-fitted strains. Strains isolated from low, medium, and super shedders of each pen were analyzed by multiplex PCR to compare strains. Multiplex PCR amplified the *rfbE* gene, which is specific for the O157 serotype, and three virulence genes, *stx1*, *stx2*, and *hlyA*. Unlike the EDL933 strain, all of the *E. coli* O157 isolates contained only *stx2* and *hlyA* genes without *stx1* (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, PFGE analysis with *XbaI* digestion identified only one type of PFGE pattern in the pens (Fig. 5B). Strains isolated from different cattle shedding low, medium, or super number of *E. coli* O157 displayed 100% similarity, indicating they are the same strains. Only one homogenous *E. coli* O157 strain was dominant among the cattle, indicating one specific strain containing only the *stx2* gene was originated from one common source. These results support that bacterial factors were not major factors making super-shedding cattle in this experiment.

Farm-to-farm Transmission of *E. coli* O157 via Animals

After the pen study at the NFREC, 80 steers were transported to a commercial feedlot X, and we traced the dominant *E. coli* O157
strain, which was first identified at the NFREC, to study if farm-to-farm transmission is mediated by cattle. After six months in feedlot X, we collected rectal swab samples (n = 44) from cattle used in the pen study and additional rectal swab samples (n = 19) were collected from random cattle raised in the feedlot. Swab samples were directly, without enrichment, plated on CT-SMAC plate and E. coli O157 strains were isolated. Sorbitol negative colonies were further identified by multiplex PCR and PFGE was conducted to evaluate similarity among strains. Unexpectedly, we identified only 2 steers that carried E. coli O157 strains, which were positive for rfbE, stx1, stx2, and hlyA. This is an unexpected result because normally the prevalence of E. coli O157 is high at feedlots compared to cattle on pasture. It is not known at this time point why the prevalence of E. coli O157 in feedlot X was very low compared to previous studies. However, we isolated the same E. coli O157 strain, which was dominant at NFREC, from the E. coli O157 positive cattle in feedlot X. As shown in Fig. 5C, one strain had 96.6% similarity, suggesting this strain probably originated from cattle transported from NFREC. It is not known at this time whether the strain originated from super-shedders or not, although it is likely that the strain originated from the super-shedders because they accounted for 90% of total bacteria at NFREC. It is noteworthy that we also isolated one strain showing 70.2% similarity compared to the dominant NFREC strain that may have originated from other sources, probably from cattle in feedlot X because it was not existing at NFREC. Therefore, these data strongly support our hypothesis that cattle transmit pathogens between farms and can be a critical control point to intervene E. coli O157 at the pre-harvest level.

**Discussion**

We report here the role of animal and environmental factors in the prevalence of E. coli O157. In addition to the bacterial factors, animal genetic and physiological factors contribute to the prevalence of this pathogen in cattle. Brahman breed among the Angus-Brahman multibreed excreted the lowest level of E. coli O157, suggesting this breed is less prone to colonization of this pathogen. Bulls were more susceptible for colonization of this pathogen when compared with steers. These data indicate animal factors significantly contribute to the generation of super-shedders. The presence of super-shedders increased the number of bacteria in the pens and cattle. Super-shedders found in 6.6% of total cattle were responsible for more than 90% of the total number of

---

**Figure 3. Super-shedders increase the total number of E. coli O157 in pens with high density.** (A) The total number of E. coli O157 was calculated from individual animals in the five pens. Super-shedders increased the total number of E. coli O157 in the pens (pen 13, 14, and 17), and the average number of E. coli O157 was 100 fold less when a super-shedder was not identified in the pens (pen 15 and 16). The results are represented as mean ± SEM. (B) The percentage of E. coli O157 shed from super-shedders in the pens. Black bars represent the percentage of E. coli O157 isolated from super-shedders and white bars represent the percentage of E. coli O157 from non super-shedders. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055728.g003

**Figure 4. Brahmans are more resistant to E. coli O157 than other animals containing different genetic background.** All calves (A), dams (B), and sires (C) were classified into the same breed groups. Overall, resistance to O157 was shown in breed group 6.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055728.g004
contaminated via water. Thus, this unusual high prevalence of E. coli O157 was probably caused by the high density of cattle in the pens that may increase animal-to-animal transmission. Taken together, cattle were probably the major source of E. coli O157 contamination via animal-to-animal transmission, and we suggest that maintaining cattle at the high density in the pens likely in part increased the prevalence of E. coli O157.

Based on an extensive E. coli O157 enumeration analysis, we have identified heterogeneous levels of this pathogen among cattle. Six cattle carried high levels of E. coli O157 (>10^7 CFU/swab) that corresponds to the top 6.6% and 59.34% of cattle did not carry this pathogen even though they were housed in the same pens. Consistent with these data, previous research has shown that some cattle harbor and shed E. coli O157 at higher concentration than others [2,6,12]. In this study, we observed the role of super-shedders at high cattle density. Super-shedders were present in 3 pens, and the total number of bacteria in those pens was about 55-fold higher than pens without super-shedders. Super-shedders were responsible for more than 90% of the total number of pathogen in the pens. These data suggest that a super-shedder could increase not only the mean level of O157 among cattle but also the risk of E. coli O157 transmission to other cattle. Thus, identification and segregation of super-shedders from uninfected cattle may be a practical strategy to reduce the E. coli O157 prevalence in cattle and human disease.

Previous research has shown that bacterial factors may determine super-shedders by showing that diverse E. coli O157 strains were observed, but a few of them with particular phage types such as PT 21/28 are more likely to associate with super-shedding cattle via alteration in gene expression of E. coli O157 [9]. Unlike the previous findings, our data indicated that only one type of E. coli O157 strains was predominant among cattle in 37 cattle without bacterial strain preference (Fig. 5B), demonstrating that animal factors likely determine the colonization or shedding of this pathogen, but not bacterial factors.

We obtained multiple pieces of data indicating that the levels of E. coli O157 in cattle were modulated by multiple factors.

Figure 5. All of the E. coli O157 isolates were identical, indicating the strain originated from one common source. (A) Multiplex PCR was conducted using primers amplifying stx1, stx2, rfbE, and hlyA. Strains used were E. coli O157:H7 EDL933 (lane 1), DH5α (lane 2), and KCJ11266 (lane 3). (B) XbaI-digested PFGE analysis. Strains used were isolated from the pens indicated on top of the line from animals shed low, medium, and super number of E. coli O157. Strains used: lane 1: KCJ11266, lane 2: KCJ11225, lane 3: KCJ1165, lane 4: KCJ1152, lane 5: KCJ1154, lane 6: KCJ1168, lane 7: KCJ1137, lane 8: KCJ1142, lane 9: KCJ1138, lane 10: KCJ1144, lane 11: KCJ1131, lane 12: KCJ1120. (C) The E. coli O157 strain isolated from animals raised at a commercial feedlot facility was probably transmitted from super-shedders. XbaI-digested PFGE analysis was conducted using the strains isolated from a super-shedder at NFREC and two animals at a commercial feedlot facility. Strains used: lane 1: KCJ1266, lane 2: KCJ1430, lane 3: KCJ1432.
data include genetic factors (Fig. 4), physiological characteristics (i.e., castration, Table 3), and cattle density. Among the six breed groups examined, calves in the breed group 6 excreted the lowest number of \textit{E. coli} O157. In addition, super-shedders were not identified in the group 6. As the breed group 6 was composed of Brahman and high percent Brahman cattle, it is reasonable to conclude that Brahman carry genetic factors that confer resistance against \textit{E. coli} O157. Our findings are supported by a previous study where Riley et al. [35] found that 17.3% of Angus beef cattle (n = 52) were positive for \textit{E. coli} O157 while 10.1% of Brahman (n = 109) beef cattle were positive with this pathogen by using an overnight enrichment method. The finding suggested that breed difference might affect the prevalence of \textit{E. coli} O157 in the herd. Further studies regarding identification of genetic loci that confer resistance to \textit{E. coli} O157 shedding and colonization will give us insights to develop intervention technologies to reduce \textit{E. coli} O157 at the pre-harvest levels.

In addition to the genetic factors, castration decreased the susceptibility of male calves against this pathogen (Table 3). This was supported by data showing that 27.27% of bulls were super-shedders, whereas only 3.75% of steers were super-shedders. However, prevalence and colonization of \textit{E. coli} O157 is probably influenced by many factors including rumen microflora [36], age [2], immune stress, the amount of feed intake, and unknown animal factors; thus, genetic variation and castration are likely associated with the prevalence of \textit{E. coli} O157 directly or indirectly. Further studies, including identification of genetic loci, will be necessary to identify animal factors that directly govern the prevalence of pathogens. Our principal finding from this study is that animal factors, including genetic factors and castration, influence the prevalence of \textit{E. coli} O157 in cattle. Super-shedders play a critical role in animal-to-animal and farm-to-farm transmission of \textit{E. coli} O157. Identification of animal factors and controlling super-shedders will undoubtedly contribute to the development of intervention technologies to reduce outbreaks caused by this pathogen.
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