Managing Milk Fat Depression: Interactions of Ionophores, Fat Supplements, and other Risk Factors

T. C. Jenkins¹, C. M. Klein Department of Animal & Veterinary Sciences Clemson University Clemson, SC 29634-0311 and G. D. Mechor Elanco Animal Health Orchard Park, NY 14127-2074

The 'Biohydrogenation Theory' of Milk Fat Depression

The biohydrogenation (BH) theory represents a unifying concept to explain the basis for diet-induced milk fat depression (MFD) where unusual intermediates of ruminal fatty acid BH accumulate in the rumen and eventually reduce milk fat synthesis in the mammary gland. Under certain dietary situations the rumen environment is altered and a portion of BH occurs via a pathway that produces *trans*-10, *cis*-12 CLA and *trans*-10 18:1 (Figure 1). *Bifidobacterium, Propionibacterium, Lactococcus, Streptococcus,* and *Lactobacillus* isolates from other habitats have been reported to produce *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA. As these genera occur in the rumen, although generally at rather low numbers, they may contribute to BH and specifically to *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA formation in the rumen with concentrate diets (Jenkins et al., 2008), which would again be consistent with greater *trans*-10, *cis*-12 CLA production with concentrate diets. Therefore, dietary situations causing MFD alter the pathways of rumen BH resulting in changes in the specific *trans*-18:1 and CLA isomers available for uptake by the mammary gland and incorporation into milk fat.

In vivo studies have revealed a vast array of *trans*-18:1 and CLA isomers present in digesta contents of cattle and sheep (Bauman and Lock, 2006). These in vivo studies were important in showing that many more intermediates exist in ruminal contents than can be accounted for by most accepted pathways of BH. As many as 17 CLA isomers have been identified in ruminal contents taken from cattle (Jenkins et al., 2008). Yet, most published pathways of BH account for the synthesis of only one or two CLA isomers. Much of the attention has been directed at intermediates of linoleic acid BH because it is believed to be the parent compound for most of the CLA isomers found in digesta contents of cattle. A recent study completed at Clemson University incubated a stable isotope of linoleic acid with ruminal contents for 48 h. The results revealed the formation of seven CLA isomers from linoleic acid, including *cis*-9 *trans*-11 and *trans*-10

¹ Contact at: 145 Poole Agricultural Center, Clemson, SC 29634-0311; Work Phone: (864) 656-2707; Fax: (864) 656-3131; Email: <u>tinkns@clemson.edu</u>

cis-12 CLA. The other isomers labeled with the isotope were *trans*-9, *trans*-11 CLA, *cis*-10 *trans*-12 CLA, *cis*-10 *cis*-12 CLA, and *trans*-10 *trans*-12 CLA.

As shown in Figure 1, this '*trans*-10 shift' in BH pathways, and the associated increase in the *trans*-10 18:1 content of milk fat, is indicative of the complex changes in ruminal BH pathways characteristic of MFD. Although *trans*-10 18:1 does not directly inhibit mammary synthesis of milk fat (Lock et al., 2007), it is relatively easy to analyze compared to *trans*-10, *cis*-12 CLA and other CLA isomers. Therefore, in general, this fatty acid can serve as a surrogate marker for the type of alterations in rumen BH that characterize diet-induced MFD.

Risk Factors for a Microbial Shift

Rumen Fat Load

Total fatty acid concentration in the rumen can be a key factor contributing to a microbial shift and the elevation of the *trans*-10, *cis*-12 CLA isomer. Elevating fatty acid concentration in ruminal contents may cause a number of changes in ruminal fermentation characteristics and microbial population distribution. Ruminal changes are the result of the antimicrobial nature of unsaturated fatty acids, where fatty acids adsorb onto the cell membrane of selected microbial species, and then penetrate into the membrane causing disorganization of phospholipids and eventual cytological damage (Jenkins, 2002). Because some bacterial species are more susceptible than others, the result is a microbial shift in the rumen. The fatty acid-induced microbial shift can disrupt fermentation of carbohydrate digestion causing a drop in the acetate to propionate ratio and possibly a reduction in fiber digestion (Jenkins, 2002). The microbial shift also can redirect the pathways of fatty acid BH causing accumulation of CLA isomers linked to milk fat depression (Bauman and Lock, 2006).

Two factors that affect the antibacterial activity of lipids are fatty acid structure and concentration. Free fatty acids generally disrupt fermentation more than triglycerides and antibacterial activity of free fatty acids can be enhanced by increasing the number of double bonds (Chalupa et al., 1984). Growth of some bacterial species is stimulated by low concentrations of fatty acids, but inhibited at higher concentrations (Maczulak et al., 1981). In attempting to predict ruminal fermentation changes caused by dietary lipid, it is often assumed that the fat load is contributed only by the fat supplement and that FFA concentration is low. Both assumptions can be wrong. Fatty acids from the TMR and forage can significantly contribute to total rumen fat load, for example when animals are consuming immature pasture. Also, FFA concentration may be elevated in some feed ingredients such as whole cottonseed stored in warm, humid conditions (Cooke et al., 2007), or in forages resulting from hydrolytic cleavage of esterified lipids during hay-making (Yang and Fujita, 1997).

The term "rumen unsaturated fatty acid load" (RUFAL) is intended to reflect the total unsaturated fatty acid supply entering the reticulo-rumen each day from feed

consumed. As defined, RUFAL accounts for intakes of unsaturated fatty acids from all feed ingredients rather than fatty acid intake coming only from fat supplements. It is hoped that RUFAL will be a better indicator of fermentation disruption in the reticulorumen than relying just on the percentage of fat added to the diet. Past studies show that increasing RUFAL will eventually cause fermentation disruption, which can have negative effects on animal performance. Reduced feed intake and ruminal carbohydrate digestibility can lead to reduced milk production when RUFAL becomes excessive. Another negative consequence of excessive RUFAL is MFD.

RUFAL is calculated as the sum of the three primary unsaturated fatty acids consumed by dairy cattle, namely oleic (C18:1), linoleic (C18:2), and linolenic (C18:3) acids. Average RUFAL from five published studies (Table 2) ranged from 473 g/d for dairy cattle fed no added fat to 696 g/d when cattle were fed fat supplements. The range of RUFAL within diet was 220 to 973 g/d for the control diets and 362 to 1118 g/d for diets with added fat. Much of the RUFAL range could be accounted for by differences in DMI and fatty acid concentrations in the diet DM.

From the data in Table 1, it is clear that the basal diet accounts for a considerable portion of RUFAL. The ratio of RUFAL control/RUFAL for fat diets was 0.68 in Table 2 showing that 68% of RUFAL was contributed by the basal diet ingredients. Fatty acid concentrations in forages and grains typically range from 2 to 4% of DM, but their high intakes expose the rumen microbial population to considerable lipid. Lipid contributions from the basal diet are often overlooked, with much of the focus directed at fatty acid contributions from the fat supplements only. As a result of ignoring basal lipid contributions, variability in animal responses often do not line up with added fat levels.

Total RUFAL could be divided into the two following ruminal pools: esterified and nonesterified. The nonesterified fatty acid component of RUFAL likely has a more detrimental effect on the microbial population and MFD than the esterified component of RUFAL. Unfortunately, very little published data is available on distribution of fatty acids in the rumen between the esterified and nonesterified pools. In one example (Table 2), the concentration of nonesterified fatty acids in ruminal contents increased from 2.03 to as high as 4.41 mg/g DM as soybean oil added to the diet increased from 0 to 8% (Bateman and Jenkins, 1998).

Low Rumen pH

Factors that can result in marked changes in ruminal pH through any 24-h period include: dietary carbohydrate profile and rates of degradation of the carbohydrate fractions as affected by source, processing, and moisture; physically effective NDF (peNDF) supply as affected by source and particle size; and production of salivary buffers as a function of peNDF supply and source (Shaver, 2005). Despite our general understanding of these factors, the degree and duration of low ruminal pH required to cause sufficient flux of PUFA through alternative pathways of ruminal BH is not known. Although data are limited, changes in rumen pH are most likely associated with MFD

because they cause a change in the bacterial population favoring those that have alternative BH pathways.

Martin and Jenkins (2002) examined continuous culture incubations that were conducted at dilution rates of 0.05 and 0.10/h with pH values of 5.5 and 6.5, and 0.5 and 1.0 g/L of mixed soluble carbohydrate. They found that the most influencial environmental factor on formation of CLA and trans-C18:1 from linoleic acid was culture pH. At pH 5.5, the concentration of *tran*s-C18:1 and CLA were significantly reduced. Similar effects were observed by Troegeler-Meynadier et al. (2003). They found that the amounts of BH products were always lower with pH 6.0 than with pH 7.0 in 24 h in vitro incubations. Low amounts of CLA at pH 6.0 could be due to low isomerase activity or to high reductase activity. Moreover, they found that low pH (pH 6.0) resulted in lower amount of *trans*-11 C18:1 at all incubation times compared with higher pH (pH 7.0), but concentration of trans-10 C18:1 was higher at 16 to 24 h of incubation. Low pH inhibited initial isomerization and the second reduction (*trans*-11 C18:1 to stearic acid), leading to an accumulation of trans-11 C18:1 in ruminal cultures (Troegeler-Meynadier et al., 2006). Choi et al. (2005) reported that *cis*-9 *trans*-11 CLA are produced at pHs higher than 6.2 by rumen bacteria, but trans-10 cis-12 CLA are produced more than cis-9 trans-11 CLA at lower pH. They concluded that trans-10 cis-12 CLA producing bacteria may be more aero and acid-tolerant than cis-9 trans-11 CLA producing bacteria.

Oleic acid BH was also affected by ruminal pH and dilution rate. AbuGhazaleh et al. (2005) reported that low pH and dilution rate restricted formation of *trans*-C18:1 and increased the concentration of stearic acid from oleic acid. They conducted the experiment using ¹³C-labeled oleic acid to determine BH intermediates in mixed ruminal microorganisms grown in continuous cultures at different pH and liquid dilution rate. At pH 6.5 and 0.10/h dilution rate, ¹³C enrichment was detected in *trans*-6 through *trans*-16 C18:1 isomers. However, at pH 5.5 and 0.05/h dilution rate, ¹³C enrichment was not detected in any *trans* isomers with a double bond position over C₁₀.

Qiu et al. (2004) reported that reduced ruminal pH can affect microbial populations, especially cellulolytic bacteria. Total cellulolytic bacteria numbers are reduced, accompanied by reduced acetate-to-propionate ratio and altered BH when pH was low. The rumen pH also influenced fungal growth and metabolism. Culturing ruminal fungi at pH 6.0 and pH 7.0 slowed BH compared with pH 6.5. CLA production was increased by pH 7.0 compared to pH 6.0 and pH 6.5. Therefore, optimum pH was 6.5 and 7.0 for BH and CLA production, respectively, by ruminal fungi (Nam and Garnsworthy, 2007).

Monensin

Ionophores disrupt ruminal BH similar to unsaturated fat supplements. Higher concentrations of linoleic acid, *trans* C18:1, and CLA were maintained in continuous cultures of ruminal bacteria following infusion of monensin, nigericin, or tetronasin (Fellner et al., 1997). Feeding monensin had similar effects as fat supplements on

enhancing linoleic acid and *trans* FA in milk of lactating cows, and also caused a reduction in milk fat percentage (Sauer et al., 1998).

Because of the antimicrobial nature of monensin and other ionophores, it is reasonable to expect that they function according to the BH theory and cause a microbial shift in the rumen that alters the pathways of BH. Monensin increases maintenance requirements of gram positive bacteria in the rumen which renders these bacteria less competitive in the ruminal environment (Duffield and Bagg, 2000). Ruminal microorganisms capable of fatty acid hydrogenation are often divided into Groups A and B based on their end-products and patterns of isomerization during BH (Harfoot and Hazlewood, 1997). Bacterial species in Group A hydrogenate linoleic acid to trans C18:1 but appear incapable of hydrogenating monoenes. Group B bacteria can hydrogenate a wide range of monoenes, including trans-11 C18:1, to stearic acid. The few bacterial species in Group B are gram-positive. Thus decreasing the number of bacteria that can carry out this process can potentially lead to a 'build-up' of BH intermediates in the rumen. This was highlighted by Fellner et al. (1997) when they examined the effect of monensin following continuous infusion of linoleic acid into rumen fermentors. With an unsupplemented diet the rate of 18:0 formation was 7.5 mg/L/hr whereas this decreased to only 2.7 mg/L/hr when monensin was supplemented (Fellner et al., 1997). It is important to remember, however, that an increased rumen outflow of BH intermediates will not be a problem if typical BH pathways are present. However, even if a small proportion of dietary PUFA are being hydrogenated through pathways that produce trans-10, cis-12 CLA and related intermediates, monensin can potentially increase the risk of MFD.

A recent on-farm epidemiological study was done in 2008 (Nydam et al., 2008) to establish risk factors that contribute to MFD in commercial dairy herds feeding Rumensin. This was an extensive study involving 79 commercial dairy herds across 10 states. Cow numbers ranged from 30 to 2800 across herds, with a mean herd size of 474 cows. Milk fat percentage ranged from 2.7 to 4.3 (mean 3.43%) and Rumensin dose ranged from 150 to 410 mg/head/day (mean 258 mg/head/day). No significant associations with herd milk fat % were seen with stall types, cooling systems, or feeding design. Herds with higher formulated DMI, however, tended to have lower milk fat%. No relationships were seen between Rumensin dose and herd milk fat %. Likewise TMR concentrations of DM, ADF, NDF, NFC, and crude fat did not relate to milk fat %.

Several significant relationships did surface from the study. Herd milk fat % was strongly associated with changes in *trans*-10 C18:1 isomers in milk fat (Figure 2). An identical relationship was reported by Hinrichsen et al. (2006) between milk fat yield and milk *trans*-10 C18:1 across published research studies.

A significant relationship was also seen between TMR particle size and milk fat %. Separation of TMR samples into three particle size ranges using the Penn State Particle Separator (PSPS; 3-box, 2-sieve) gave significant relationships between milk fat % and particle size that were positive for the middle screen (P =0.008) and negative for the bottom pan (P=0.003). Milk fat % did not relate to TMR particle size on the top

screen. No single TMR characteristic or ration component measured in this study accounted for more than 10% of the variation in herd milk fat %. When a multi-variate regression was done on all measured TMR variables (e.g. TMR variables such as DM, starch, NDF, etc) and herd management factors, followed by a step-wise regression that eliminated non-significant variables one at a time, the significant factors remaining in the model were TMR DM% and percentage particles on the bottom pan of the PSPS. Together TMR DM% and bottom pan particles accounted for 21% of the variation in herd milk fat % in the study.

All individual fatty acids in the TMR were examined for possible relationship with milk fat % (Table 3). Unexpectedly, polyunsaturated fatty acid intake, including linoleic and linolenic acids, did not relate to milk fat %. It was expected that increasing intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids would cause a microbial shift and alter the pathways of BH leading to increased accumulation of CLA in the rumen that are associated with MFD. Rather surprisingly, only intakes of the monounsaturated fatty acids, C16:1 and C18:1, related to milk fat %.

References

- AbuGhazaleh, A. A., M. B. Riley, E. J. Thies, and T. C. Jenkins. 2005. Dilution rate and pH effects on the conversion of oleic acid to *trans* C18:1 positional isomers in continuous culture. J. Dairy Sci. 88:4334-4341.
- Bateman,II, H. G. and T. C. Jenkins. 1998. Influence of soybean oil in high fiber diets fed to nonlactating cows on ruminal unsaturated fatty acids and nutrient digestibility. J. Dairy Sci. 81:2451-2458.
- Bauman, D. E. and A. L. Lock. 2006. Concepts in lipid digestion and metabolism in dairy cows. Proc. Tri-State Dairy Nutr. Conf. pp. 1-14. Available at: <u>http://tristatedairy.osu.edu/</u>
- Chalupa, W., B. Rickabaugh, D. S. Kronfeld, and D. Sklan. 1984. Rumen fermentation in vitro as influenced by long chain fatty J. Dairy Sci. 67:1439-1444.
- Choi, N. J., J. Y. Imm, S. J. Oh, B. C. Kim, H. J. Hwang, and Y. J. Kim. 2005. Effect of pH and oxygen on conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) production by mixed rumen bacteria from cows fed high concentrate and high forage diets. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 123-124:643-653.
- Cooke, K. M., J. K. Bernard, C. D. Wildman, J. W. West, and A. H. Parks. 2007. Performance and ruminal fermentation of dairy cows fed whole cottonseed with elevated concentrations of free fatty acids in the oil. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2329-2334.
- Duffield, T. F. and R. Bagg. 2000. Use of ionophores in lactating dairy cattle: A review. Can Vet. J.:388-394.
- Fellner, V., F. D. Sauer, and J. K. G. Kramer. 1997. Effect of nigericin, monensin, and tetronasin on biohydrogenation in continuous flow-through ruminal fermenters. J. Dairy Sci. 80:921-928.
- Harfoot, C. G., and G. P. Hazlewood. 1997. Lipid metabolism in the rumen. In: Hobson PN. Stewart CS (eds) The rumen microbial ecosystem. London, Chapman and Hall, pp 382-426.

Hinrichsen, T., A. L. Lock, and D. E. Bauman. 2006. The relationship between *trans*-10 18:1 and milk fat yield in cows fed high oleic acid or high linoleic acid plant oil supplements. Euro-Fed Lipid Congress, October 2006, Madrid, Spain.

- Jenkins, T. C. 2002. Lipid transformations by the rumen microbial ecosystem and their impact on fermentative capacity. pp 103-117 in *Gastrointestinal Microbiology in Animals*, S. A. Martin (Ed.), Research Signpost, Kerala, India.
- Jenkins, T. C., and W. C. Bridges, Jr. 2007. Protection of fatty acids against ruminal biohydrogenation in cattle. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 109:778-789.
- Jenkins, T. C., R. J. Wallace, P. J. Moate, and E. E. Mosley. 2008. BOARD-INVITED REVIEW: Recent advances in biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids within the rumen microbial ecosystem. J. Anim. Sci. 86:397-412.
- Lock, A. L., C. Tyburczy, D. A. Dwyer, K. J. Harvatine, F. Destaillats, Z. Mouloungui, L. Candy, and D. E. Bauman. 2007. *Trans*-10 octadecenoic acid does not reduce milk fat synthesis in dairy cows. J. Nutr. 137:71-76.
- Maczulak, A. E., B. A. Dehority, and D. L. Palmquist. 1981. Effects of long chain fatty acids on growth of bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 42:856-861.
- Martin, S. A., and T. C. Jenkins. 2002. Factors affecting conjugated linoleic acid and trans-C_{18:1} fatty acid production by mixed ruminal bacteria. J. Anim. Sci. 80:3347-3352.
- Nam, I. S., and P. C. Garnsworthy. 2007. Factors influencing biohydrogenation and conjugated linoleic acid production by mixed rumen fungi. J. Microbiol. 45(3):199-204.
- Nydam, D. V., T. R. Overton, G. D. Mechor, D. E. Bauman, and T. C. Jenkins. 2008. Risk factors for bulk tank milk fat depression in northeast and Midwest US dairy herds feeding monensin. American Association of Bovine Practioners, Charlotte, NC Sept 25, 2008.
- Qiu, X., M. L. Eastridge, K. E. Griswold, and J. L. Firkins. 2004. Effects of substrate, passage rate, and pH in continuous culture on flows of conjugated linoleic acid and trans-C18:1. J. Dairy Sci. 87:3473-3479.
- Saur, F. D., V. Fellner, R. Kinsman, J.K.G. Kramer, H. A. Jackson, A. J. Lee, and S. Chen. 1998. Methane output and lactation response in Holstein cattle with monensin or unsaturated fat added to the diet. J. Anim. Sci. 76:906-914.
- Shaver, R. D. 2005. Feeding to minimize acidosis and laminitis in dairy cattle. Proc. Cornell Nutr. Conf. pp. 49-60.
- Troegeler-Meynadier, A., M. C. Nicot, C. Bayourthe, R. Moncoulon, and F. Enjalbert. 2003. Effects of pH and concentrations of linoleic and linolenic acids on extent and intermediates of ruminal biohydrogenation in vitro. J. Dairy Sci. 86:4054-4063.
- Troegeler-Meynadier, A., L. Bret-Bennis, and F. Enjalbert. 2006. Rates and efficiencies of reactions of ruminal biohydrogenation of linoleic acid according to pH and polyunsaturated fatty acids concentrations. Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 46:713-724.
- Yang, U. M., and H. Fujita. 1997. Changes in grass lipid fractions and fatty acid composition attributed to hay making. Grassl. Sci. 42:289-293.

	DMI	Dietary FA	18:1	18:2	18:3	RUFAL ²			
	kg/d	g/kg of DM	g/d	g/d	g/d	g/d			
Control diets (n = 5)									
Mean	19.4	37.3	139	299	44	473			
Minimum	12.0	18.6	53	133	26	220			
Maximum	27.3	55.4	242	690	74	973			
Fat diets (n = 21)									
Mean	19.4	59.5	280	371	56	696			
Minimum	12.3	28.2	111	164	26	362			
Maximum	25.7	83.5	571	710	88	1118			

Table 1. Average intakes of major unsaturated fatty acids (FA) by dairy cattle fed a TMR without and with added fat averaged across five published studies¹.

¹ Taken from Jenkins and Bridges (2007). ² RUFAL = rumen unsaturated fatty acid load (g/day) = C18:1 + C18:2 + C18:3.

Table 2. Distribution of fatty acids (FA) in ruminal contents between the esterified and nonesterified pools as soybean oil in the diet increased from 0 to 8% of dietary DM¹.

	% of dietary DM as soybean oil				
Measure	0	2	4	6	8
Esterified FA, mg/g of DM	0.64	0.77	1.36	1.14	0.75
Nonesterified FA, mg/g of DM	2.03	4.41	3.68	3.97	3.79
Total FA, mg/g of DM ²	8.11	20.42	24.96	24.79	32.38

¹ From Bateman and Jenkins (1998). ² Includes Calcium salts of FA.

Fatty acid	R^2	Coefficient	P value
C14:0	0.01	-0.009	0.39
C16:0	0.01	-0.0007	0.41
C16:1	0.10	-0.029	0.007
C18:0	0	0	0.98
C18:1	0.05	-0.0014	0.07
C18:2	0.0007	0	0.83
C18:3	0.03	0.002	0.18
C20:0	0.01	0.04	0.37
C22:0	0.0005	0.006	0.86
C24:0	0.0004	-0.017	0.61
Other FA	0.004	0	0.61
Unidentified FA	0.002	-0.0004	0.71
Saturated FA	0.006	-0.0003	0.55
Monounsaturated FA	0.06	-0.001	0.06
Polyunsaturated FA	0.0002	0	0.90
Total unsaturated FA	0.007	0	0.49
Total FA	0.01	-0.0002	0.40

Table 3. Relationship between fatty acid (FA) intake (g/day) via TMR and herd milk fat%. Taken from Nydam et al. (2008).

Figure 1. The shift in intermediates produced from biohydrogenation of linoleic acid in ruminal contents as a result of a diet-induced microbial shift leading to milk fat depression (MFD).





Figure 2. Relationship between milk *trans*-10 C18:1 content and milk fat %. From Nydam et al. (2008).

SESSION NOTES